UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,857	11/21/2003	Vanadis M. Crawford	RSW920030203US1	1362
48816 7590 09/24/2008 IBM CORPORATION - RSW (JVL) C/O VAN LEEUWEN & VAN LEEUWEN P.O. BOX 90609 AUSTIN, TX 78709-0609			EXAMINER	
			FLEISCHER, MARK A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## CRAWFORD ET AL. 10/718,857 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit MARK A. FLEISCHER 3623 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) MARK A. FLEISCHER. (3)Scott Schmok. (2) Beth Boswell. (4)\_\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 18 September 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Nandigama and Corral. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed the fundamental ideas behind the Application pertaining to the topdown nature of using common metrics across a number of product phases. We also discussed issues regarding the use of the term "goal" in the context of the claims and some associated section 112 issues regarding possible enablement problems in the specification. Finally, we described some of the issues regarding the Section 101 methods claims. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. /Beth Boswell/ **Supervisory Patent Examiner** Examiner's signature, if required Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Attachment to a signed Office action.